

FROM A CONSUMER PERSPECTIVE

Briefings on Educational Research From The
Education Consumers Consultants Network

www.education-consumers.com

Phone & Fax - (423) 282-6832

December 2003

Volume 3, Number 12

Charles Arthur, M.S.Ed.
President and Executive Director /
Mastery Learning Institute /
Portland, OR

Virginia P. Baxt, Ed.D.
President / Education Agenda,
Inc.

Wayne Bishop, Ph.D.
Professor of Mathematics /
Department of Mathematics and
Computer Science / California
State University-Los Angeles

William L. Brown, Ph.D.
Senior Researcher / Lansing (MI)
Community College

Guy Bruce, Ed.D.
President / A.P.E. Consulting

Louis Chandler, Ph.D.
Professor & Chairman /
Department of Psychology in
Education / School of Education /
University of Pittsburgh

Andrea Clements, Ph.D.
Associate Professor / College of
Education / East Tennessee State
University

Donald Crawford, Ph.D.
Professor / College of Education /
Western Washington University

Nathan Crow, B.A.
Founder & Principal / Littleton
Preparatory Charter School

George K. Cunningham, Ph.D.
Professor / School of Education /
University of Louisville

Mary Damer, M.Ed.
Instructor & Student Teaching
Supervisor / Northern Illinois
University

Edwin J. Delattre, Ph.D.
Professor & Dean / School of
Education / Boston University

Benjamin F. Eller, Ed.D.
Professor / School of Education /
Western Carolina University

Featured Research:

["Engaging Schools: Fostering High School Students' Motivation to Learn "](#)

(click title for full article)

By Committee on Increasing High School Students'
Engagement and Motivation to Learn

Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2003

Briefing:

On the surface, the recommendations made by the National Research Council in its December 2003 report, *Engaging Schools*, seem reasonable enough.

The report deals with student motivation and ways that high schools can foster it.

One cannot argue with its goals: to create schools that are engaging, have ongoing, comprehensive assessment, provide knowledgeable teachers, help all students to meet challenging standards, create smaller learning communities, eliminate tracking, provide more counseling services, and establish greater communication between schools and the communities they serve. But the devil is in the details.

Most of the committee's suggestions are not innovations. Rather, they are calls for methods that are currently in use or that have been used for years without notable success. They include teaching in the native language of students who are learning English (bilingual education), using black dialect (Ebonics), offering longer classes (block scheduling), encouraging students to discover mathematical principles through their own explorations (constructivist, otherwise known as fuzzy, math.), linking education to jobs (school-to-work), learning in groups (cooperative learning), and self-esteem building.

Some of the committee's recommendations contradict one another. For example, the committee recommends both block scheduling (ninety minute classes), which allows students to spend more time per day with each teacher, and looping, which allows students to remain with the same teacher for two or more years. Apparently, the committee failed to consider that classes taught on a block schedule are one semester in length and that looping would keep students with the same teacher, in multiple subjects year after year.

Another contradiction is implicit in the committee's recommendations on teacher training. Education reformers have debated about whether secondary-level teacher training programs should emphasize subject-matter expertise or expertise in pedagogy. The committee's solution is to emphasize both. It urges pre-service teacher preparation programs to provide high school teachers deep content knowledge and a range of pedagogical strategies suited to adolescent learning styles. It says nothing about how this exhaustive training would be fitted into one program.

Mark Herring, Ed. D.
Professor & Dean of Libraries /
Winthrop University

Daniel Hursh, Ph.D.
Professor of Educational
Psychology / College of Human
Resources & Education / West
Virginia University

Carol Jago, M.A.
English Teacher / Santa Monica
High School / Director, California
Reading & Literature Project /
UCLA

Daniel Konieczko, M.Ed.
Science Teacher / King Middle
School / Portland, ME

Martin Kozloff, Ph.D.
Watson Distinguished Professor /
School of Education / University
of North Carolina at Wilmington

Rob Kremer, M.B.A.
President / Oregon Education
Coalition

Elaine McEwan-Adkins, Ed.D.
President / McEwan-Adkins
Group

Richard P. Phelps, Ph.D.
Senior Study Director /
WESTAT / Rockville, MD

Michael Podgursky, Ph.D.
Professor and Chairman /
Department of Economics /
University of Missouri

Linda Ross, Ph.D.
Director and Instructional Design
Specialist / Archimedes eLearning
Solutions

Valerie Rutledge, Ed.D.
Assistant Professor / College of
Education / University of
Tennessee at Chattanooga /
Member, TN Board of Education

Mark C. Schug, Ph.D.
Professor & Director / Center for
Economic Education / University
of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

Lucien Ellington, Ed. D.
Professor / College of Education
and Applied Professional
Services / University of
Tennessee at Chattanooga

John Eshleman, Ed.D.
President / EasyLearn Systems

David R. Feeney, Ed.D.
Director of Digital Education / Fox
School of Business &
Management / Temple University

Lawrence Fraley, Ed.D.
Professor / Department of
Advanced Educational Studies /
West Virginia University

Carol C. Gambill, M.Ed.
Head - Lower School / Beaufort
Academy / Beaufort, SC

Patrick Groff, Ed.D.
Professor of Education Emeritus /
San Diego State University

Bonnie Grossen, Ph.D.
Professor / College of Education /
University of Oregon

Richard Gruetzemacher, Ed.D.
Director / Planning, Evaluation,
and Institutional Research /
University of Tennessee at
Chattanooga

Perhaps the two most persistent themes in this report are its calls for standards-driven instruction and the elimination of formal and informal tracking. The committee wants high academic standards, but it is skeptical of the standards movement and it opposes any attempt to group students according to ability or prior knowledge. Teachers are left to resolve the problem.

One of the great challenges of teaching is the question of how to adjust instruction to student abilities while trying to reach a standard within a limited amount of time. Teachers in so called heterogeneous classes frequently have students who read at a lower elementary school level working alongside ones who read at a college level.

The committee makes recommendations--individualize instruction, offer tutoring, and prepare teachers to work with students who have different needs—but all have been known for decades and all are workable only in very small classes.

Teaching students with greatly differing levels of knowledge and skill involves more than merely understanding the individual learners. For example, teaching algebra to students who have not yet mastered factoring requires building a foundation before instruction can begin. While tutoring can be helpful with students whose skills are only a year or two behind, an hour or two a week cannot overcome deficiencies that have cumulated over a period of years. There is a substantive skill gap between long division and quadratic equations.

The committee resolves this and related problems by recommending that teachers do it all—i.e., meet “high but achievable standards”. While this goal is noble, its practicality should be questioned. Within a given class, some students would be reading Faulkner’s sophisticated *The Sound and the Fury* while others would be struggling with *Charlotte’s Web*.

Engaging Schools also recommends that high schools be smaller. It is a recommendation worth considering, but it is at odds with its recommendation that schools increase the variety of their classes. Academically demanding classes, it suggests, should be available for all—along with internships, job shadowing, and school-to-work, etc.

In addition to its curricular suggestions, the report says that schools should become agents of community service. These include medical and social needs, and the coordination of agency contacts. Also, it calls for adding school counselors and for diffusing traditional counseling duties among other school professionals, including teachers. Schools should become one-stop mental health centers.

Apparently, the committee overlooked the oft repeated criticism that schools are failing in their educational mission because they have taken on too many non-educational responsibilities.

Some of the committee’s recommendations are worth considering, but most are simply rehashed fads or resuscitations of the progressive view that has dominated American education since the days of John Dewey.

Engaging Schools offers little that is new with respect to student motivation.

The Education Consumers Consultants Network is an alliance of experienced and credentialed educators dedicated to serving the needs of parents, policymakers, and taxpayers for independent and consumer-friendly consulting. For more information, contact J. E. Stone, Ed.D., at (423) 282-6832, or write: professor@education-consumers.com

Lewis Solmon, Ph.D.
Dean Emeritus / UCLA Graduate
School of Education / Senior Vice
President / Milken Family
Foundation

Robert Spangler, Ed.D.
President / Spangler &
Associates, LLC

J. E. Stone, Ed.D.
Professor / Department of Human
Development & Learning / College
of Education / East Tennessee
State University

Sara Tarver, Ph.D.
Professor College of Education
University of Wisconsin-Madison

John Towner, Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus / Woodring
College of Education / Western
Washington University

Herbert Walberg, Ph.D.
University Professor of Education
& Psychology / College of
Education / University of Illinois-
Chicago

Richard Western, Ph.D.
Professor (ret) / School of
Education / University of
Wisconsin-Milwaukee