FROM A CONSUMER PERSPECTIVE

Brigfings on Educational Research From The Education Consumers Consultants Network

www.education-consumers.com

Phone & Fax - (423) 282-6832

September 2001

Charles Arthur, M.S.Ed. President and Executive Director / Mastery Learning Institute / Portland, OR

Virginia P. Baxt, Ed.D. President / Education Agenda, Inc.

Wayne Bishop, Ph.D.
Professor of Mathematics /
Department of Mathematics and
Computer Science / California State
University-Los Angeles

William L. Brown, Ph.D. Senior Researcher / Lansing (MI) Community College

Guy Bruce, Ed.D. President / A.P.E. Consulting

Louis Chandler, Ph.D. Professor & Chairman / Department of Psychology in Education / School of Education / University of Pittsburgh

Andrea Clements,Ph.D.
Associate Professor / College of Education / East Tennessee State University

Donald Crawford, Ph.D.
Professor / College of Education /
Western Washington University

Nathan Crow, B.A. Founder & Principal / Littleton Preparatory Charter School

George K. Cunningham, Ph.D. Professor / School of Education / University of Louisville

Mary Damer, M.Ed. Instructor & Student Teaching Supervisor / Northern Illinois University

Edwin J. Delattre, Ph.D. Professor & Dean / School of Education / Boston University

Benjamin F. Eller, Ed.D. Professor / School of Education / Western Carolina University

Lucien Ellington, Ed. D. Professor / College of Education and Applied Professional Services / University of Tennessee at Chattanooga

John Eshleman, Ed.D. President / EasyLearn Systems

David R. Feeney, Ed.D. Director of Digital Education / Fox School of Business & Management / Temple University

Lawrence Fraley, Ed.D. Professor / Department of Advanced Educational Studies / West Virginia University

Carol C. Gambill, M.Ed. Head - Lower School / Beaufort Academy / Beaufort, SC

Patrick Groff, Ed.D. Professor of Education Emeritus / San Diego State University

Bonnie Grossen, Ph.D. Professor / College of Education / University of Oregon

Featured Research:

"Rethinking Special Education"

(click here for full article)

Edited by Chester E. Finn, Jr., Andrew J. Rotherham, and Charles R. Hokanson, Jr Washington, DC: Thomas B. Fordham Foundation, 2001.

Briefing:

Special programs for disabled students partly account for the poor achievement and the high and rising costs of American schools. Of course, programs for blind, deaf, and other children with scientifically evidenced disabilities are clearly justified. But most of the roughly 6.1 million U.S. children in special programs are in such psychological categories as "learning disabled" and "mildly mentally retarded." In the last twenty-five years, they have accounted for the approximately 65 percent increase in special education enrollments and huge increases in costs without better learning.

Money, conflicts of interests, and disingenuous accountability often motivate the growth of such programs. The more children whom educators classify as psychologically disabled, the more money comes into their states, districts, and schools. School, district, state, and federal administrative jobs multiply accordingly, and the ensuing expanding bureaucracy distracts educators from learning; Michigan, for example, has some six thousand detailed program regulations. When children are psychologically classified, they can be neglected since they are excused from accountability examinations, thus making their schools appear to be doing better than they actually are. Kentucky, Louisiana, and South Carolina made leaps in reading scores alongside big increases in excused special students.

In 1982, the National Academy of Sciences contended that psychological classifications are unreliable and that special programs often do little good and sometimes do harm. Subsequent research has shown that the present classification systems misleadingly suggest that as many as 80 percent of all school students require special programs, which cost about 2.3 times more than regular programs.

Yet studies show that mildly disabled students do no better in regular classrooms because what they need is better-not special or differentiated-teaching. Moreover, spurious diagnoses stigmatize children, give them a debilitating excuse not to learn, and lead to their segregation from other children.

Special programs also lead to discrimination and unfairness. Special educators more often litigiously classify big-city children as mildly retarded and expect less of them. But middle-class students are less demeaningly labeled (for example, "special learning disabled"). In affluent Greenwich, Connecticut, educators classify about one in three high school students as disabled. Such students may be get tutors, note takers, laptop computers, and extra time on tests, including those for college admission.

The rapidly growing numbers of charter schools suggest a solution: Charter schools are privately governed and largely deregulated, but they receive public funds. They must admit all applicants (or use lotteries when oversubscribed). To begin, they must attract students; to continue, they must retain them and report achievement gains.

Analogous special education charters would work in a similar way. Any charter school or regular school could apply for a waiver of special federal and state

Mark Herring, Ed. D. Professor & Dean of Libraries / Winthrop University

Volume 1, Number 09

Daniel Hursh, Ph.D.
Professor of Educational Psychology
/ College of Human Resources &
Education / West Virginia University

Carol Jago, M.A. English Teacher / Santa Monica High School / Director, California Reading & Literature Project / UCLA

Daniel Konieczko, M.Ed. Science Teacher / King Middle School / Portland, ME

Martin Kozloff, Ph.D.
Watson Distinguished Professor /
School of Education / University of
North Carolina at Wilmington

Rob Kremer, M.B.A.
President / Oregon Education
Coalition

Elaine McEwan-Adkins, Ed.D. President / McEwan-Adkins Group

Richard P. Phelps, Ph.D. Senior Study Director / WESTAT / Rockville, MD

Michael Podgursky, Ph.D. Professor and Chairman / Department of Economics / University of Missouri

Linda Ross, Ph.D. Director and Instructional Design Specialist / Archimedia eLearning Solutions

Valerie Rutledge, Ed.D. Assistant Professor / College of Education / University of Tennessee at Chattanooga / Member, TN Board of Education

Mark C. Schug, Ph.D.
Professor & Director / Center for
Economic Education / University of
Wisconsin-Milwaukee

Lewis Solmon, Ph.D.
Dean Emeritus / UCLA Graduate
School of Education / Senior Vice
President / Milken Family Foundation

Robert Spangler, Ed.D.
President / Spangler & Associates,

J. E. Stone, Ed.D.
Professor / Department of Human
Development & Learning / College of
Education / East Tennessee State
University

Sara Tarver, Ph.D Professor College of Education University of Wisconsin-Madison

John Towner, Ph.D. Professor Emeritus / Woodring College of Education / Western Washington University

Herbert Walberg, Ph.D. University Professor of Education & Psychology / College of Education / University of Illinois-Chicago

Richard Western, Ph.D.
Professor (ret) / School of Education /
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

Richard Gruetzemacher, Ed.D. Director / Planning, Evaluation, and Institutional Research / University of Tennessee at Chattanooga regulations. Waivered schools would continue to receive extra money for special children but be held responsible for their retention and learning. They could, for example, declassify special children, remove them from segregated programs, and provide all children with more learning time and effective teaching, which are proven policies for promoting achievement for regular and special students. The resulting deregulation, competition for special student applicants, and increased accountability to parents, citizens, and legislators would help even more.

[This Briefing was written by Herbert J. Walberg, Ph.D., University Scholar, University of Illinois-Chicago. It was published January 21, 2002 as a Hoover Institution Weekly Essay, copyright Trustees of Leland Stanford Junior University, www-hoover.stanford.edu/pubaffairs/we/2002/walberg_0102.html]

The Education Consumers Consultants Network is an alliance of experienced and credentialed educators dedicated to serving the needs of parents, policymakers, and taxpayers for independent and consumer-friendly consulting. For more information, contact J. E. Stone, Ed.D., at (423) 282-6832, or write: professor@education-consumers.com